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INTRODUCTION

The term “meditation” refers to mental and emotional control practices from a number of cultural contexts including those of Christianity and Islam, yet is most frequently applied to those originating in the Eastern spiritual traditions of India, Tibet, China, and Japan. Meditation has been adopted in western countries both as a spiritual practice and a mind–body therapeutic intervention (1). The effects of meditation can be divided into – the lasting (therapeutic) changes in the person (traits) – and the immediate experiences during the meditation practice (states) (2). This review will focus on meditation states.

The states of consciousness induced by meditation practices have been extensively investigated from both a practical and a theoretical perspective. A practical question is: do meditation states have specific psycho-physiological characteristics that distinguish them from other mind–body therapeutic interventions, e.g., relaxation? (3, 4). As meditation practices were originally devised as a path to “higher consciousness,” a more theoretical question is: do meditation practices induce specific states of (altered) consciousness, distinguishable from other states of consciousness such as sleep (5) or hypnosis? (6).

THE PRACTICE OF MEDITATION

Given the wide range of practices called “meditation,” issues of definition continue to hamper research in this area, as predicted in an early review of the area (4). A recent review of Lutz et al. (7) suggests that the limited contributions made to date by neuroscientific studies of meditation can be attributed, in part, to differences in the studied meditative states (7).

In an extensive review of meditation practices in healthcare, the US Department of Health and Human Services found a definitive taxonomy of meditation practices was not possible due to the lack of specificity of the concept of meditation (8). An attempt to address this issue led to a generalized definition of meditation considered suitable for research purposes arising from the consensus of a panel of experts using Delphi method. Essential components of this definition were: (a) a defined technique, (b) logic relaxation, and (c) a self-induced state/mode. Non-essential but important components were: (d) involve a state of psychophysical relaxation somewhere in the process, (d) use a self-focus skill or anchor, (e) involve an altered state/mode of consciousness, (f) be imbedded in a religious/spiritual/philosophical context or (g) involve an experience of mental silence (9). While encompassing most variations of meditation practices, this definition does not provide specific dimensions on which particular practices can be classified.

Rather than exploring correlations between EEG measures and a vaguely defined “meditation state,” Ulrich Ott advocated that testable hypotheses be formulated, specifying the relationship of various cognitive processes to frequency and topographic EEG maps. This research agenda requires that meditation practices be more closely examined and that traditional instructions be expressed in the language of cognitive psychology (10). The most frequently used distinction for this purpose has been the focus of attention (4). Using this dimension, and based on traditional meditation texts and modern neuroscientific conceptions within the Buddhist tradition, Lutz et al. (11) proposed two broad categories for meditation practices: “focused attention” (FA) and “open monitoring” (OM), from which operational definitions could be derived. The cognitive tasks associated with each category can then be related to neurophysiological activity and brain location and testable hypotheses derived.

THE PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY OF MEDITATION

Although meditation is inherently a subjective practice (4), early calls for research studies to include phenomenological data (12) have largely gone unheeded. Fell et al. (13) attempted to answer the question: “are meditation-related brain/mind states unique?” based on an examination of existing evidence. They concluded that early stages of meditation may overlap with other states of consciousness, e.g., relaxation, but at advanced levels of practice, the
states attained are unique. They note, however, there are, as yet, few empirical studies of advanced meditators and so any conclusions remain tentative.

Walsh (14) saw phenomenological changes in meditation states as the very raison d’être for meditation and suggested two approaches—rating scales for groups of subjects and intensive single-case studies. However, few studies to date have included phenomenological data. The predominant research design has been within-subject comparisons of psycho-physiological measures from participants engaged in a particular meditation practice to measures taken in a baseline state.

Such studies have led to brain activity during meditation practice being extensively explored, with the EEG being the most commonly used technology before the advent of neuroimaging. In line with the western emphasis on “objective” measures, most reports devote the great majority of their content to the technical aspects of psycho-physiological data collection and analysis. Yet, after 50 years of this approach to scientific research into meditation, there is still no consensus about the neurophysiological processes underlying meditation practice (2).

THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF MEDITATION – PLACE AND PERSON FACTORS

We argue that the lack of clear research outcomes from such meditation research is attributable to methodological shortcomings in research design, a consequence of an emphasis on technological considerations and a neglect of critical subjective factors impacting on the meditation experience. For example, reporting of meditation experience may require a more detailed description of personal history than has been the norm in previous research. Place variables, such as the context within which classical meditation was developed and practiced may also affect the meditation experience yet are rarely reported in meditation research (15).

The physical setting for the recording session may further impact on the meditation state attained, affecting its “ecological validity.” Factors affecting the meditation state may include whether the setting is a place usually used for meditation or a laboratory, the intrusiveness and discomfort of the psycho-physiological measures, and the presence of others in the meditation space. Early field studies found even advanced Indian yoga meditators were disturbed by the apparatus and did not attain their usual depth of practice (16). Whether the meditation under study is embedded in its original cultural setting or transposed to a western setting may also influence the results.

REVIEW OF MEDITATION RESEARCH

In order to determine the extent and quality of previous meditation state research, we undertook a review of the meditation state literature incorporating EEG measures and attempted to classify the variables examined. This review was not intended to be an exhaustive review of meditation research, rather it was intended to illustrate the current state and direction of meditation research and highlight the main variables used. Our review began with the report of Cahn and Polich (2), a comprehensive review of meditation research undertaken to that date. This provided 35 EEG studies of meditation states. The U.S. National Library of Medicine service PubMed was then searched for studies using search terms “meditation state” AND “EEG” AND date of publication: “2006–2012.” This returned 37 articles.

Studies were included if they were:

- experimental studies with subjects engaged in a specific meditation practice
- included outcome measures that included EEG recordings of the meditation state.

Excluded from the results were a total of 31 articles based on:

- included in initial list of Cahn and Polich: 2
- non-meditation studies: 13
- non-EEG studies: 4
- non-meditation studies: 7
- studies on EEG methodology: 3
- non-experimental studies: 2.

After these exclusion criteria were applied, six articles were added to the present review.

The variables used in the studies were assigned on the basis of reported information into the domains of:

- person – characteristics of the meditator
- practice – nature of the specific meditation practice
- place – variables of the experimental situation
- phenomenology – subjective experiences of the meditator
- physiology – EEG measures of the meditation state.

RESULTS

Tables 2 and 3 summarizes the domains of “Practice,” “Phenomenology,” and “Psychophysiology” for studies from Table 1 that provided a sufficiently detailed description of the meditation practice to enable categorization as either “FA” or “OM.”

Responding to the categories proposed by Lutz et al. (11), Travis and Shear (63) proposed a third category of “automatic self-transcending,” marked by the “absence of both (a) focus and (b) individual control or effort” (p2), particularly applicable to “Transcendental Meditation” (TM).

RESULTS/DISCUSSION

“PLACE” VARIABLES

“Place” encompasses those variables defining the broad context for the experimental study. Table 1 shows that early studies in the 1960s were conducted in field settings in countries of origin of the meditation tradition studied. The 1970s and 1980s were dominated by studies conducted in USA of Transcendental Meditation, a westernized yoga practice. More recent studies have taken advantage of the increased access in western countries to meditators trained within original eastern meditation traditions. Yet, it is suggested that even our ordinary state of consciousness is in part a product of consensus reality, structured by our cultural context and that the process of “enculturation” during childhood development shapes our experience of consciousness (64). Thus, the cultural history of meditation tradition and practice within a particular society may determine the broad range of experiences available to meditators. This aspect of meditation research has yet to be explored and to our knowledge
Table 1 | Context for meditation studies – place and person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Novice (&lt;1 year)</th>
<th>STM (1-5 year)</th>
<th>LTM (5-20 year)</th>
<th>Adv (&gt;20 year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Das and Gastaut (17)</td>
<td>Yoga – India</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenger and Bagchi (16)</td>
<td>Yoga – India</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anand (18)</td>
<td>Yoga – India</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasamatsu and Hirai (19)</td>
<td>Zen – Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace (20)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banquet (21)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagano and Frumkin (22)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghista et al. (23)</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett and Trinder (24)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebert and Lehmann (25)</td>
<td>TM – Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse et al. (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mantra (TM), hypnosis, relaxation – USA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenwick et al. (26)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elson et al. (27)</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corby et al. (28)</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehrer et al. (29)</td>
<td>CSM, PR – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigsby et al. (30)</td>
<td>TM – Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker and Shapiro (31)</td>
<td>TM, Zen, Yoga – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Zen, Yoga, TM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillbeck and Bronson (32)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrow and Hebert (33)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badawi et al. (34)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (35)</td>
<td>Qigong – China</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaylord et al. (36)</td>
<td>TM, PR – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 TM, 29PR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson et al. (37)</td>
<td>Tibetan Buddhist – India</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al. (38)</td>
<td>Qigong – China</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis and Wallace (39)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn et al. (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration vs. Mindfulness – USA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamei (41)</td>
<td>Yoga – Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khare and Nigam (42)</td>
<td>Yoga, TM – India</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arambula et al. (43)</td>
<td>Kundalini Yoga – Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litscher et al. (44)</td>
<td>Qigong (Austria)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 | Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travis (45)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehmann et al. (46)</td>
<td>Tibetan Buddhist (Switzerland)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ott (10)</td>
<td>Yoga, TM – Germany</td>
<td>8 TM, 2 Yoga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftanas and Golocheikine (47), Aftanas and Golocheikine (48, 49)</td>
<td>Sahaja Yoga – Russia</td>
<td>11 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo et al. (50)</td>
<td>Zen – Taiwan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutz et al. (51)</td>
<td>Tibetan Buddhist (USA)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faber (52)</td>
<td>Zen (Switzerland)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murata et al. (53)</td>
<td>Zen Susoku (Japan)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al. (54)</td>
<td>Zen Susoku (Japan)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebert et al. (55)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. (56)</td>
<td>TBRT – Hong Kong</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauregard and Paquette (57)</td>
<td>Christian – Canada</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang and Lo (58)</td>
<td>Zen – Taiwan</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagopoulos et al. (59)</td>
<td>Non-directive meditation (Australia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahn et al. (60)</td>
<td>Vipassana – USA</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehmann et al. (61)</td>
<td>Tibetan Buddhist, Qigong, Sahaja Yoga, Ananda Marga, Zen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis (62)</td>
<td>TM – USA</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSM, clinically standardized meditation; PR, progressive relaxation; SRM, self-regulation method; AT, autogenic training; RR, relaxation response; TBRT, triarchic body-pathway relaxation technique.

there have been no comparisons of meditation states attained in different settings.

**“PERSON” VARIABLES**

This domain encompasses variables relating to the personal history and the nature of the meditation training of participants. Apart from the extensive work conducted on TM, most studies appear to have selected the meditation practices to study on the basis of availability of subjects. Table 1 shows that early field studies used advanced practitioners, while the western studies of the 1970s–1990s usually had meditators with <5 years practice. More recent studies have again had access to experienced meditators.

As yet there is no accepted way for researchers to determine meditation proficiency. “Years of meditation practice” has been the primary index of meditation expertise used in meditation research, but this represents only a crude measure of proficiency. The stage of life when the practice was performed may also be relevant as brain structures are more malleable in the formative years. In traditional contemplative practice, a number of meditation practices may be utilized (7), thus attempting to specify the total hours spent in a particular meditation practice is not straightforward.

Unlike other activities such as musical performance or video gaming, which lend themselves to more objective measures such as skill ranking or professional and educational attainment (65), meditation is an internal subjective experience that does not lend itself to external rating. A more accurate proficiency measure, although not so easily obtained, is a rating by the meditator’s teacher such as used by Kasamatsu and Hirai (19).
Ideally studies should encompass a range of meditation proficiency – beginners can show the progressive specificity of the effects of meditation, while experienced meditators are more likely to show distinct changes in states of consciousness (13). Further collaboration between researchers and specific meditation traditions is needed to develop classifications of expertise and levels of training required for proficiency within each tradition.

In contrast to traditionally trained meditators, there is some evidence that western meditators may have a more varied journey through their meditation training, often sampling different teachings before settling within one tradition. A qualitative study of western meditators showed that even within a specific meditation tradition (Kashmir Savism) meditators may undertake a switching back and forth between techniques before adopting a technique suitable for that particular meditation session (Abbott, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Houston, 1996).

The intent of the meditator along with the goals and expectations of the participants in research studies may also be important variables. The same meditation practice, performed as part of a long-standing spiritual practice, e.g., Kasamatsu and Hirai (19) or for an undergraduate project, e.g., Dunn et al. (40) may produce very different states. This issue has received little attention in the literature.

**“PRACTICE” VARIABLES**

The aim of meditation state research has often been to distinguish each meditation category by its cognitive processes, which can be linked to associated neurophysiological activity. For example, based on their EEG signatures, Travis and Shear (63) assigned differing meditation practices to particular categories. Yet, as reported in Tables 2–4, the descriptions of the meditation practices provided by most studies are insufficient to enable clear specification of the cognitive tasks involved. Also most studies failed to record or report EEG activity across all frequency bands, undermining the validity of this approach.

An alternative approach suggests that meditation training, regardless of the specific tradition, contains common characteristics and stages of development and that irrespective of the tradition, meditative training involves a similar scheme of development that can be related to distinct EEG signatures (13). In contrast, Lutz et al. (7), while not discounting the possibility that practices from different traditions can have similar effects, contend that it is best to avoid this assumption. Instead they recommend that each meditation tradition’s discourse needs to be examined and interpreted to derive descriptions of meditation states that are measurable and repeatable and therefore useful for research. Based on the reviewed studies, it certainly appears that any attempt to link specific meditation practices to EEG signatures is premature and that further progress in this endeavor will require the inclusion of data from the domain of phenomenology.

**“PHENOMENOLOGY” VARIABLES**

Tables 2–4 show the few studies that include subjective reports of the meditation states attained, with post-session questionnaires or rating scales being the most used method, e.g., (28, 47). Some studies, e.g., (21) and (45) have attempted in-session reporting of subjective states, but as Lo et al. (50) noted, the intrusiveness of these methods renders them impractical during deep meditation.

A potential strategy to improve the accuracy and validity of subjective reports of inner experience is the research approach advocated by Lutz and Thompson (67) in which first-person data from trained subjects is used to guide third-person neurophysiological measurements. Although this approach has not been widely adopted, advanced meditators are seen as providing a more refined first-person description of their experiences (7).

There have been a number of attempts to develop methods for mapping the phenomenology of altered states of consciousness, applicable to meditation practice. Tart (68) argued that the term “altered state of consciousness” has come to be used too loosely. He proposed it to be replaced by a new term; “discrete altered state of consciousness” (d-ASC), described as “unique, dynamic patterns or configurations of psychological structures, active systems of psychological subsystems” (p5). Meditation-induced states of consciousness form a subset of these states (69) explain that Tart’s notion of “psychological structures” includes those structures, which regulate the basic parameters of consciousness. These structures are revealed by a recognizable isomorphism (not merely a correlation) between phenomenology and physiology. Thus, a d-ASC in meditation would be expressed in a discrete state of brain networks, observable as a change in the dominant network of functional connectivity between brain regions, from a defined baseline state.

In a review of a wide range of altered states of consciousness Vaitl et al. (70), used a four dimensional matrix, assessed predominantly on the self-report of the subjects. The dimensions were: “activation” (high to low arousal), “awareness span” (from narrow to broad), “self-awareness” (from heightened to diminished), and “sensory dynamics” (from reduced to heightened sensation). Ott (10) explored the subjective dimensions of “meditation depth” in a sample of yoga, Buddhist, and TM meditators. A factor analysis of 300 questionnaires revealed three dimensions: “mystical experience” – bliss, contact with a higher force, “nirvana” – absence of thought, total absorption, and “mental and bodily relaxation” – reduction of tension.

The dimensions outlined in these two studies can form the foundation for a more detailed analysis of the phenomenological states attained during meditation, expanding the scope beyond the commonly used dimension of attention focus. Meditation practices can be mapped onto these dimensions and subjective reports from meditators used to validate the profile of each practice. Fell et al. (13) describe this process as defining each meditation state of consciousness as a unique area in state space. Exploring neurophysiological signatures of these states can help to answer the question concerning the uniqueness of meditation states of consciousness.

**“PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY” VARIABLES**

Measurement and analysis methods used by meditation state research have changed significantly over the decades, impacting on the interpretation of the brain states being measured. The introduction of neuroimaging techniques, e.g., positron emission tomography (PET), (71) and functional magnetic resonance imagery (fMRI), (72) has greatly extended the ability to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Practice Description</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Psychophysics – EEG bands</th>
<th>Phenomenology</th>
<th>Subjective report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θ (4–8 Hz)</td>
<td>α (8–12 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasamatsu and Hirai (19) Japan</td>
<td>Zen</td>
<td>Zazen</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Bursts in advanced</td>
<td>Power increase freq decrease</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghista et al. (23) India</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td>“Intuitional practice”</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Chakra, personal mantra</td>
<td>“Distinct from mental concentration”</td>
<td>Power increase freq decrease</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elson et al. (27) USA</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Personal mantra</td>
<td>“Mental withdrawal and concentration”</td>
<td>Power increase in advanced</td>
<td>Power increase</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corby et al. (28) USA</td>
<td>Ananda Marga</td>
<td>Tantric yoga</td>
<td>Personal mantra, with breath</td>
<td>“Intense concentration of attention”</td>
<td>Meditation quality rating</td>
<td>Power increase with proficiency</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al. (38) China</td>
<td>Qigong</td>
<td>Concentrative</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Attention on breath or body sensation</td>
<td>Thinking regulation</td>
<td>Frontal power increase</td>
<td>Power increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamei (41) Japan</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>SoHam, preceded by asana, pranayama</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Breath, mantra</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Power increase in most S’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehmann et al. (46) Switzerland</td>
<td>Diamond Way Buddhist</td>
<td>Half closed</td>
<td>Visualization</td>
<td>Subjectively different meditations</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo et al. (50) Taiwan</td>
<td>Zen</td>
<td>Inner light</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Zen and third eye chakra</td>
<td>Perception of “inner light”</td>
<td>Signaling of “inner light”</td>
<td>Power increase in early meditation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
document topographical brain activity and neuronal metabolism activity in various meditation states. However, essentially the same methodological considerations apply as with EEG outcome measures.

**TOWARD A STANDARDIZED REPORTING FORMAT FOR MEDITATION STATE RESEARCH**

In order to address the deficiencies in previous research described above, we propose a comprehensive methodological framework for research into meditation states of consciousness to address variables from a broader range of domains.

The first two proposed domains provide the context for the meditation session under study; They are:

- **place** – the relationship of the study to the cultural origin of the meditation practice and the physical nature of the experimental setting. Variables include; the GPS coordinates of the study location; a description of the setting (laboratory, home, temple, or other facility); the familiarity of the meditator with the setting; the time and date of the data collection, the ambient temperature, barometric pressure, humidity and lighting; the décor including the presence of images, icons, statues, mandalas, yantras, candles, incense, etc.; the proximity to electrical appliances and external electromagnetic fields, the presence of any shielding (Faraday cage), and measures of electromagnetic field strength.

- **person** – variables relating to the personal history, meditation training, meditation practice, expectations, and motivation of the meditator. This includes; demographic details such as age, gender, ethnicity, socio-economic status, level of education and handedness; health status including the presence or absence of any acute or chronic diseases; anthropometric measures such as height, weight, BMI, head, and waist circumference; current and previous history of use of, or abstinence from, substances such as caffeine, tobacco, alcohol, animal products, and pharmaceutical medicines; details of meditation training including age at commencement of training, type of training, regularity, and duration of practice; the briefing provided prior to the experimental session along with the method of recruitment and personal motivation and expectations of the practitioner.

A further three domains provide the experimental framework within the particular cultural and personal context.

- **Practice** – the actual meditation practice, described in sufficient detail to allow replication. It is suggested that a minimal description of meditation practice should include the specific lineage and tradition that the practice is based on along with any traditional descriptions: posture, eye attitude (open/closed), and how attention is directed.

- **Phenomenology** – the phenomenal state of consciousness produced by the particular meditation practice, as predicted by meditation teachings and the actual experience in the session, validated by feedback from the participants. Further development of
Table 3 | Studies using open monitoring meditation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Practice Description</th>
<th>Phenomenology</th>
<th>Psychophysiology – EEG bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θ (4–8 Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftanas and Golocheikine (47), Aftanas and Golocheikine (48, 49) Siberia</td>
<td>Sahaja yoga</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Loose, unfixed attention</td>
<td>“Thoughtless awareness and bliss”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutz et al. (51) USA</td>
<td>Tibetan Buddhist</td>
<td>“loving-kindness”</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. (56) Hong Kong</td>
<td>Triarchic Body-pathway Relaxation</td>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>“Attending to thoughts and sensations”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahn et al. (60) Vipassana</td>
<td>Scanning of sensations</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>“Detached observation”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Practice</td>
<td>Practice Description</td>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banquet (21)</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Mantra</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebert and Lehmann (25)</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Mantra</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse et al. (3)</td>
<td>TM, hypnosis, relaxation</td>
<td>TM mantra or “one”</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis (45)</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebert et al. (55)</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis (62)</td>
<td>TM, TM-Siddhi</td>
<td>TM: mantra, general description of TM-Siddhi</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 | Studies using transcendental meditation.
methods for mapping phenomenological space, building on the work of Vaitl et al. (70) would enable a standardized format to be adopted. Other measures, including “absorption,” measured by the Tellegen Absorption Scale also warrant further investigation for usefulness in meditation research (73).

Psychophysiology—adequate documentation of equipment used, methods of collection, analysis, and interpretation of psycho-physiological measures. These measures may include: cardiovascular performance, e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, heart rate variability (HRV) (74); brain activity measures, e.g., EEG frequency and low resolution topographical analysis (LORETA) (46, 75); and various neuroimaging measures, e.g., MRI to measure cortical thickness (76); Single Photo Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT) to measure cerebral blood flow (77) and other techniques such as the combined use of EEG (for temporal definition) and fMRI (for spatial definition) (78).

We suggest that the value of this proposed framework would be an improved ability to conduct reproducible research that can accurately position specific meditation states within the total matrix of states of consciousness. By placing the specific relationship of practice-phenomenal state-psycho-physiological measure within the broader context of “place” and “person,” the research questions posed in the introduction can be more confidently addressed: do meditation states have specific psycho-physiological characteristics and do meditation states induce specific states of consciousness?

While this approach may lead to more reproducible research and therefore more consistent results, it does not provide specific direction for the formulation of research hypotheses. As the aim of meditation is the experience of mystical higher states of consciousness beyond the thinking mind, it may be that some aspects of meditation are not amenable to rational scientific inquiry and can only be explored through direct personal experience. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the implementation of a consistent approach to reporting research data will advance meditation research and thereby assist in penetrating deeper into the mysteries of human consciousness.

CONCLUSION

Research to date into meditation states has been inconclusive and is hampered by a number of methodological limitations, primarily the narrow range of variables included in research designs and the lack of inclusion of phenomenological data. Within the context provided by the “place,” “person,” and “practice,” we argue that research will be advanced by a comprehensive program of mapping of phenomenological states to meditation practices and then to psycho-physiological variables. Given this foundation, the questions of uniqueness of meditation states and the specificity of meditation effects may begin to be addressed.
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